From: John Giacobetti < jgiacobetti@gmail.com>

Sent: Friday, October 14, 2016 8:21 PM

To: Rick L. Lucas

Cc: brram.org@gmail.com

Subject: Comment on Trenton-Mercer Airport Master Plan

As a resident of Lower Makefield Township, PA, we would like to go on record to express our opposition to the master plan that has been proposed for Trenton-Mercer Airport (TTN). We find it unconscionable that the airport has a planned expansion in place that does not take into account the environmental impact on the surrounding areas and its residents, nor the impact on the overall quality of life of the citizens who live in close proximity to the airport.

In the master plan, the increase in the number of flights is planned to increase by well over 500%, from 12 to 68 a day. The number of of gates will increase by 100%, from two to four, and there will be an increase in impervious surfaces around the airports with the addition of more taxiways. I find it hard to fathom that no one seems to think that this type of expansion is not going to have any significant impact on the surrounding environment and its residents.

As members of BRRAM, we, along with our fellow members, are urging that an Environmental Impact Study (an EIS, not an environmental impact assessment) be conducted before any additional expansion is done to the Trenton-Mercer airport, and that includes (but is not limited to) the number of flights in and out of Trenton-Mercer airport. The following environmental impacts must be thoroughly evaluated in a formal EIS:

- Impact on community youth from flight paths over numerous NJ and PA schools in close proximity
- Impact of noise, vibrations, air pollution, land and water pollution, sleep disruptions, and safety concerns on health, mental and physical well being.
- Financial impacts on property values.
- Impact on historical, architectural, archaeological & cultural resources including historic Yardley, historic Washington Crossing, Delaware Canal parklands, Delaware River scenic drives.
- Fish, Wildlife, Plants, Waterways
- Farmlands

On a personal level, the current level of activity at Trenton-Mercer airport has already negatively impacted our quality of life and that of our family. We have lost sleep from being awakened by flights overhead and we often cannot enjoy normal, outdoor activities that one would expect in a small town suburb. The continuous overflights from TTN have definitely disturbed the peace and tranquility that we have come to expect from living in Bucks County, Pennsylvania.

Finally, the airport has an equal or greater impact on PA citizens than on NJ citizens. PA citizens contribute equally to fund FAA. What rights do PA citizens have around the expansion of the airport? How will their concerns influence the rulings of the FAA? These are just some of the questions we would like to have answered prior to TTN embarking upon its master plan for expansion.

We and other members of BRRAM have been patiently awaiting for a ruling from the Third Circuit Court of Appeals to ensure that TTN and the FAA simply follow the rule of law and conduct a formal Environmental Impact Study. Now with the master expansion plan that has been proposed, we certainly hope that the court will rule in favor of an EIS.

Sincerely, John and Jennifer Giacobetti

From: Alice Chepiga <alicechepiga@verizon.net>

Sent: Friday, October 14, 2016 10:24 AM

To: Rick L. Lucas

Subject: Comment on Trenton-Mercer Airport master plan

My husband and I are residents of Lower Makefield Township against the plans to expand the number of daily flights from Trenton Mercer Airport from 12 to 68. The planes fly over our home. We understand the value of the local airport and put up with the noise. However, raising the number of flights over 5 times is unreasonable. If local air travel is in demand in New Jersey, explore other local airport options.

Alsom we live along the I-95 corridor and will be experiencing increased noise from the expansion of the Scudder's Falls bridge. Now we get a double dose of noise pollution with the planned expansion of the Trenton Mercer Airport.

Ray & Alice Chepiga 1488 Miller Place Yardley, PA 19067

From: fkawoczka < fkawoczka@aol.com> **Sent:** Friday, October 14, 2016 7:30 AM

To: Rick L. Lucas

Subject: Comment on airport expansion

Hello, please reconsider the expansion of the airport. At the very least you must comply with all regulations to allow public comment as well as complete all environmental studies. I live in the direct path of many planes, unfortunately they are on the descent and so extremely close to my home. The noise is overwhelming. Florence Kawoczka

Sent from Samsung tablet

From: Amanda <adrobac@msn.com>
Sent: Friday, October 14, 2016 3:24 PM

To: Rick L. Lucas
Cc: Amanda

Subject: Comment on the Trenton Airport Master Plan

I am a resident of Lower Makefield Township, Pennsylvania and live directly below the current flight path used by planes entering and exiting Trenton Airport. I would like to make several comments on the Trenton Airport Master Plan.

As a Pennsylvania resident, do I have the same rights to the expansion occurring at Trenton Airport? As a taxpayer who supports the FAA and other federal governing bodies, are my rights the same as New Jersey residents? Or the effect of airport expansion on Pennsylvania residents residing in nearby Bucks County is not a concern of the governing bodies who make decisions on the airport expansion. The residents of Lower Makefield and other Pennsylvania townships nearby the Trenton Airport do not feel they are being heard or properly considered in the plans for expanding the airport.

Currently, I am affected by the air traffic to and from Trenton Airport, and therefore, I am very concerned that my quality of life and property value will be negatively affected by the current expansion plans. The planes overhead are loud enough to wake my family up early in the early morning hours. I worry the proposed increase in flight traffic will wake us even more frequently in the early morning hours as well as late at night. At the moment, the planes are slightly disruptive as I work from home during the day. I have to wait for the plane to go by to be able to speak during conference calls. But, if these planes are going to be running at a much regular pace, it will become a major disruption.

Why haven't there been plans to develop runways which would allow air traffic to go through New Jersey as well as Pennsylvania? In that way, the burden of air traffic is felt evenly throughout the area; and one direction of the airport (nearby Bucks County) isn't shouldering the negative effects of residing near Trenton Airport.

Are there proper curfews on allowable flight times in the plan? Will air traffic be prevented from taking place in the night when the community surrounding the airport is sleeping? Are there fines being considered for violations of these curfews?

Are there proper "watchdog" organizations at the federal and state level making sure the community is not adversely affected with the airport expansion?

Is anyone reading these comments made by concerned residents and taking them to heart in making decisions which impact people on many different levels?

I hope my voice and other voices are being heard and given the due diligence we deserve on making plans on the Trenton Airport expansion.

Sincerely, Amanda Drobac Lower Makefield Township Resident

From: michael drobac <cmudrobac@msn.com>

Sent: Friday, October 14, 2016 8:56 PM

To: Rick L. Lucas

Cc: brram.org@gmail.com

Subject: Comment on the Trenton Airport Master Plan

As a resident of Lower Makefield Township, Pennsylvania and living directly below the current flight path used by planes entering and exiting Trenton Airport, I would like to make several comments on the Trenton Airport Master Plan.

- 1. The increase in flights, from what was just a few per day to today's level, continues to disrupt a reasonable lifestyle that one would expect from our Bucks County community. All of the noise, air pollution and vibrations caused by the flights have negatively contributed to this impact on my family's and neighbors' lives. In this respect, curfews must be immediately implemented and violators fined. These fines should inure directly to the benefit of those who have been affected, and not simply become a part of the airport's operating budget or channeled otherwise. In addition, the airport needs to immediately ensure a fair distribution of flights affecting PA residents and NJ residents, thus requiring an even distribution of planes travelling over the affected communities. This would include the usage of both runways such that the communities to the North, South, East and West are affected equally, and sharing the burden that the airport offers.
- 2. Part of the reason for moving to Lower Makefield was the neighboring communities, including Mercer County, which seemed to have similar respect for a desired suburban life. Disappointingly, it appears that this respect as deteriorated to the point where Mercer County is not including Pennsylvania's view, or its elected representatives, in the discussions of the airport's expansion plans. This should change immediately as a given part of transparent and fair negotiations.
- 3. The cumulative effect of the increased air traffic in recent years and the projected impact of the expansion should not occur without an in-depth Environmental Impact Study being completed by the FAA. This study should evaluate the proportionality of impact to residents to the North, South, East and West of the airport.

- 4. It is unreasonable to believe that a 15 day public comment period on such a permanent project is deemed to be reasonable. In fact, I would venture to guess that even residents of New Jersey will not have ample time to evaluate the impact of the expansion on their own lives. I can't help but feel that this is a subtle attempt to try to slip this expansion plan through by aggressively hoping that no one notices. As the airport has attempted to expand for many years, full and fair transparency has revealed that this is not a desired project for the community. Underhanded attempts to quickly proceed with this project is objectionable by any reasonable account.
- 5. Economic growth is not contingent on a new airport. It is completely unreasonable to believe that there needs to be a major airport essentially every 45 miles (i.e., Newark and Philadelphia airports). These major international airports can accommodate any expected travel to virtually any city, for business or pleasure, without unreasonable amounts of travel time to or from an airport. In fact, fine institutions such as Bank of America (formerly Merrill Lynch), CA Technologies, and Princeton University have all flourished nicely in Mercer County without the need for anything but Newark and Philadelphia airports. If these top ranked companies can do it, surely others can as well.
- 6. Pennsylvania residents pay the same taxes that fund the FAA and, as such, should be entitled to the same privileges from the FAA to determine whether there will be a negative impact to Pennsylvania residents. This is cannot be viewed as a one-sided transaction only for the benefit of New Jersey.
- 7. Sadly, I will also note the disrespect that is being demonstrated to the residents of socially disadvantaged Ewing, NJ. Who, in your mind, is speaking for them knowing full well that they will be bearing a significant burden from further expansion? Social organizations should become a part of this conversation to ensure that ALL views are heard regardless of economic means, education level, or ties to public officials.

In closing, I understand that it is politically lucrative for NJ officials to "expedite the planning process and move aggressively", but a bullying approach will not prevail. The expectation of this community, including our fine neighbors in Mercer County, is that we work together to solve problems and grow.

I would appreciate receiving a response to the above.

Sincerely,

Michael Drobac 1419 Silo Road Yardley, PA 19067

From: Alan Jay Purugganan <alan.purugganan@gmail.com>

Sent: Thursday, October 13, 2016 4:22 PM

To: Rick L. Lucas

Cc: brram.org@gmail.com

Subject: Comments about Trenton Airport Expansion

Dear McFarland Johnson / Trenton Airport,

My name is Alan Purugganan and I am a resident of Lower Makefield Township, PA who is concerned about the expansion of Trenton Airport. I understand the airport contributes positively to the local NJ/PA economy and provides a convenient air travel option between Newark and Philly airports (Even I myself have taken flights out of Trenton). But plans for increased air traffic worries me from an environmental and quality of life standpoint.

The amount of air traffic I currently see and hear is tolerable, but certainly distracting. The jet noise interferes with my focus and concentration when working from home and the sight of planes directly over my home, as well as, the vibration of furniture is definitely a nuisance when trying to relax outdoors. So to hear that the airport is expanding the number of flights makes me very apprehensive.

I learned about your plans from a flyer left in my mailbox from a local community group. I would have expected to hear this announcement from the airport itself, but I never read or heard of any such plans leading me to believe that insufficient public notice was given. This is unacceptable given the huge impact this expansion will make on the surrounding communities. In the future, more communication should be issued. I am asking you to consider the TOTAL effect of this expansion activity. Sure the increased number flights may be beneficial for airport business and the local economy, but at what additional cost? I am asking you to consider the kids who live around the airport. As they sit in school or do their homework, can they effectively focus while doing their lesson? And as they walk home from school, will they breathe in more plane exhaust than they currently do? I have a two year old daughter; is this something I have to worry about as she plays in our backyard?

I am also asking you to consider the residents of Bucks County, PA and Mercer County, NJ. One of the reasons why people live here is to enjoy the natural surroundings and peaceful setting. Won't the increased air traffic contribute to increased air pollution and jeopardize the Nature around us? Won't the increased noise pollution interfere with the quality of life? We, the residents, have chosen to live here because of the beauty and relative peacefulness of the community. Are we now to understand that this is being taken from us and we have no representation in this matter?

I understand federal policy dictates an Environmental Impact Study is required before commencement of any proposed activity that affects the environment. Please comply with this policy and make sure that ALL factors have been considered before proceeding with your plans. But if the expansion is to proceed, then I would like to suggest that the additional burdens imposed upon PA and NJ are indeed split evenly between PA and NJ. For example, flight paths are equally distributed between the two regions. In addition, I would like it mandated new planes be equipped with latest noise and air pollution technologies to reduce its impact on the area.

I hope that my concerns are taken seriously for me, my family, and my fellow PA/NJ residents around the airport. I am not against expansion - as long as it is done responsibly.

Thank you, Alan Purugganan

From: Jeff Dayton <jtdhardscrabble@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, October 13, 2016 10:42 AM

To: Rick L. Lucas

Cc: brram.org@gmail.com

Subject: Comments on the Trenton Airport Master Plan

I am a resident of Lower Makefield Township, Pennsylvania and I have been personally impacted by the noise and disruption created by the increase in flights from 2 per week to 12 per day from the Trenton Airport over the last several years. I understand that the proposed Trenton Airport Master Plan will expand the number of flights to 34 flights per day in the future. Before this Master Plan is approved the following should take place:

- 1. The FAA must consider the cumulative impact of these flights and the expansion plan as a whole, and order an Environmental Impact Study before considering whether to grant this request.
- 2. The Masterplan should formally document the flight paths used from the airport, the number of aircraft which currently and will in the future use each flight path on a dailly basis, the required elevation of the planes in these flight paths, the reasons planes might be flying lower, the number of planes which have flown lower over the past 2 years, the noise levels on the ground from the aircraft in these flight paths and any factors which might lead to the increase in these noise levels over the duration of the Masterplan.
- 3. There should be an impartial mechanism to ensure a fair distribution of flights over PA and NJ, with each state sharing the flight burden equally.
- 4. Additional planning meetings should be held in Lower Makefield Township, to ensure PA public comment is heard.
- 5. More public notice should be provided for public planning meetings, including wider notification, earlier notification, and notification to regional governing institutions including Yardley Boro, Lower Makefield Township, and Upper Makefield Township.
- 6. More time should be granted for public comment, than the 15 day period for the initial planning meeting.
- 7. The master plan must include improvements to the airport so that flights can be routed equally above both New Jersey and Pennsylvania so that Pennsylvania does not bear the full environmental impact of this expansion.
- 8. The financial impacts on surrounding property values must be assessed and a mechanism put in place to compensate property owners for any loss of value.

I look forward to your response to these comments.

Thank you Jeff Dayton

From: R2Wizard@aol.com

Sent: Friday, October 14, 2016 8:49 PM

To: Rick L. Lucas

Cc: brram.org@gmail.com; R2Wizard@aol.com

Subject: Concern Over Planned Increases in Flights for Trenton Airport

We would like to log our deep concern about the most recent plan outlined in our local paper (Bucks County Courier Times; October 13th edition) which includes increases in air flights into and out of the Trenton Airport from the now 12 flights up to 68 take-offs/landings per day. Currently, planes flying into and out of this airport pass directly over our home in Yardley, Pennsylvania within close enough distance to hear the engine and flight noise distinctly. This noise pollution is enough to disrupt both relaxation in our back yard and, more importantly, our sleep during both morning and evening hours. With an increase in number of flights by over 5-fold, these disruptions will be very difficult to bear.

We are also extremely concerned that the pollution to air, land, and water in our surrounding area (but especially in our own living space!) is already being negatively impacted and will only greatly worsen with this increase in flights per day. We certainly hope that some type of study be performed to address how deep of an impact this pollution is adding to our environment, and that the results from such a study are communicated directly to all communities surrounding the airport, including those in the Pennsylvania areas impacted.

When we moved into our current Yardley home over 20 years ago, we were so happy to have found a property that was quiet, protected, beautiful in nature, and serene. With the recent advent of plane flights now passing closely overhead, much of these qualities are now being removed to some degree. This will become intolerable with these increased intrusions to our lives via these increased flights into and out of Trenton.

Please ensure that you are doing everything possible to ensure the safety, health, and well-being of surrounding communities. Please also consider finding a way to eliminate/minimize flights over properties in Pennsylvania. Regards,

Bob Wilczynski and Barbara Shonberg Yardley, PA

From: Alicia Nayfield <alicia@nayfield.com>
Sent: Friday, October 14, 2016 8:09 PM

To: Rick L. Lucas

Cc: brram.org@gmail.com; Tania Maria Castro Flores; Madonna Fox; Rod Nayfield

Subject: Concern about airport expansion

To Whom it May Concern,

I am writing to express deep concern about the airport expansion plans. The airport noise has already lowered our quality of life. Planes scream overhead - especially on Sundays interrupting our ability to utilize our back yard and keep our windows open. You can actually smell the jet fuel some days. The idea of increasing flight from 6 per day to 34 per day is untenable.

The flights have had a negative impact upon the senior citizens home, my children, their sleep patterns and our feeling of calm and safety,

In addition, animals in the area have been negatively impacted. Song birds have pretty much left the area under the flight path.

I believe there should be public discourse about the value of this plan and it's economic, social and environmental impact. Given how heavily subsidized the airport is, does it warrant the negative impact on our health, well being and environment?

In addition, better communication about plans, dates and time for comments should occur.

Many Thanks,

Alicia Nayfield 25 Orchard Ave Pennington NJ 08534

From: Ruthanne Boylan <ruthanne@verizon.net>
Sent: Thursday, October 13, 2016 2:13 PM

To: Rick L. Lucas

Cc: brram.org@gmail.com; Joanne Guiniven; Brendan Monaghan

Subject: Concerns - Trenton Airport Master Plan

As a resident of Lower Makefield Township (Bucks County, PA), I'm writing to express my concerns about the Trenton Airport Master Plan.

The departing and arriving jets can be extremely noisy. I walk 3 to 4 miles with friends every morning from 5:30a to 6:30a — if a flight passes overhead while we are outside, conversation is interrupted because of the noise. With additional air traffic this would be totally unacceptable.

Indoor noise is also a problem. Sometimes it is loud enough to wake us from a sound sleep. I've even had to interrupt business phone calls because of noise from low-flying jets when my windows are open. More flights again will lead to an increasingly intolerable situation.

There are several elementary schools under or close to the flight path. I'm very concerned about safety should flight problems occur near the schools. This exposure is compounded with heavier air traffic.

Per guidelines, a formal EIS should be completed prior to any expansion. This has not been completed. Without question, this EIS should be part of the planning for the airport.

The airport could potentially have severe impacts on property values and quality of life for the surrounding communities in both NJ and PA. These concerns need to be considered/addressed by Trenton Mercer Airport.

I'm requesting joint planning between Trenton Airport authorities and surrounding communities (particularly LMT) along with completion of the EIS ASAP.

Thanks in advance for your consideration.

Ruthanne Boylan 1585 Harvest Drive Yardley, PA 19067 215-337-1156

From: Justine Seman < JustineSeman@hotmail.com>

Sent: Thursday, October 13, 2016 7:18 PM

To: Rick L. Lucas

Cc: brram.org@gmail.com

Subject: Concerns about expansion of Trenton Mercer Airport

Dear Federal Aviation Administration:

I am very surprised that Rule changes for the Airport were under consideration without proper notification for citizens of my community to have a say in matters that can be detrimental to our health and financial well-being.

I lived in Alamo Heights San Antonio, TX where the deafening noise from constant airplanes(SouthWest planes were the worst) made outdoor living unbearable. One reason our family moved to Yardley in 2000 was airport noise would not be an issue.

The accumulative effects of increased plane traffic affects air quality and water in the Delaware River which is our source of drinking water. My house is in the direct path of most flights. Most flights come in and out towards the West so FAA needs to consider the Pennsylvania part of the path and its impact on our community. We contribute equally in taxes and have equal rights as NJ when the FAA assess changes to the Rules.

I request that an impartial group assess impacts of flight paths on all surrounding communities. A commission is needed to enforce the Rules and serve fines when flight hour curfews and sound Rules are broken.

If the runways are expanded the upgrades should include ILS capability to allow planes to use more NJ airspace.

I urge you to give Pennsylvania citizens a real voice in this process as we are disproportionately negatively affected by these Rules changes.

Yours truly,

Jim and Justine Seman 1259 Yale Dr Yardley, PA 19067

From: Brunnet, Mark < MBRUNNET@CRSD.ORG >

Sent: Friday, October 14, 2016 12:39 PM

To:Rick L. LucasSubject:Expansion Proposal

To whom it may concern,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the proposed expansion of the Trenton-Mercer airport. With respect to this plan please know that Lower Makefield Township and Yardley (both in Pa.) currently bear the brunt of airport traffic. Therefore it is crucial that your firm insist on ordering an Environmental Impact Study prior to any further planning.

Specifically, this EIS should include yet is not limited to the following:

- Impact on community youth from flight paths over numerous NJ and PA schools in close proximity
- o Impact of noise and vibrations, air, land and water pollution, sleep disruptions, and safety concerns regarding mental and physical health.
- o Financial impacts on property values.
- Impact on historical, architectural, archaeological & cultural resources including historic Yardley, historic Washington Crossing, Delaware Canal parklands, Delaware River scenic drives.
- o Fish, Wildlife and Plants
- Farmlands

Consider also that despite the inequality of air traffic between PA and NJ, PA citizens contribute equally to the funding of the FAA. As such, PA citizens should be informed of their rights concerning the expansion of the Trenton airport as well as the influence their concerns may have upon the final ruling of the FAA in this matter.

Respectfully, Mark Brunnet 201 Taylorsville Road Yardley, Pa. 19067

Please consider the environment before printing this email. If you've received this email by mistake, please contact the sender. To unsubscribe or to be removed from these emails, please follow the instructions located here: http://www.crsd.org/Page/26489

From: Joan Unger <joanunger@verizon.net>
Sent: Friday, October 14, 2016 8:18 AM

To: Rick L. Lucas

Subject: Expansion at airport

I tried going on site to see how to comment on the plan but for some reason the site could not be found. So here is what I have to say. I live in Levittown, PA. and in the last few years the plans flying over head have been so low that my house actually shakes. Also the noise is so loud that it is hurting my ears. I hope you take this complaint against the expansion seriously, as the quality of life in our area would be deplorable.

Thank you for your time.

Joan Unger 31Crystal Place. Levittown, Pa 19057

From: Clare Gosselin <claregosselin@gmail.com>

Sent: Friday, October 14, 2016 11:36 AM

To: Rick L. Lucas

Cc: brram.org@gmail.com

Subject: Expansion of the Trenton/Mercer airport

I am writing to you to voice my disapproval of the expansion of the Trenton/Mercer airport.

Currently, I live in Yardley, PA and I experience the flight path first hand with the noise and daily disruption! Please tell me what studies have been performed to study the impact on environment, noise, flight curfews, paths over our schools just to name a few.

I would like to be kept informed of any news and information surrounding this expansion.

Thank you, Clare Gosselin 10 Highland Drive Yardley, PA 19067 215.801.5405

Sent from my iPad

From: Sharon Smith <Sharon_Smith@HMRoyal.com>

Sent: Friday, October 14, 2016 1:28 PM

To: Rick L. Lucas

Subject: FW: EXPANSION OF MERCER AIRPORT

I am against the expansion of this airport. My house is in the path of the planes currently going out of airport. The noise level is annoying when they fly over and upset our life style. Time spent in our back yard is not enjoyable when they go over. It is worrisome that the plane will crash into something. Expanding to 68 flights a day will be a nightmare. Why does the airport need to go over PA – let them fly over NJ and see what the residents have to say. This was to be a small good neighbor airport when it first was opened.

Sharon Smith – 677 Nancy Rd, Yardley Pa 19067

From: Scott Boyd <orc_haman@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, October 13, 2016 8:08 PM

To: Rick L. Lucas

Cc: brram.org@gmail.com

Subject: Fw: TRENTON AIRPORT Expansion

Dears Sirs and or Madam,

I seriously object to the increased air traffic to and from the Trenton Airport.

My understanding is that you gave very little notice for a public review of your 20 year Master Plan. You are not considering the voices of the PA residents and especially those of the Yardley community.

The planes fly to low as is, and you want to increase that? I shouldn't be able to hear an airplane overhead inside of my house with all the windows shut. I find the current early and late landings obnoxious and loud. If you want to increase the number of Flights, you need to build a new runway and change the flight path to come in over the river from the North or South. I would also like it if all flights were during the daylight hours, or at least when they are not sleeping.

Please take this letter into your consideration

Regards,

Kris Boyd 609-610-6414

From: Amy Preston <amy.preston@verizon.net>

Sent: Friday, October 14, 2016 11:53 PM

To: Rick L. Lucas **Subject:** airport noise

I understand the airport is planning to expand significantly in the coming years. The noise levels are already too loud very frequently and cause us to lose sleep late at night and early in the morning. We have to stop conversation outside and have had to pause anything we watch on tv when planes go overhead. The planes fly into and out of the same path regardless of the wind speed or direction. his is completely unfair and is placing too much of the noise burden on this community. It is a health concern given the loss of sleep we experience and it is a significant loss of quality of life given the frequent interruption due to the noise. I ask that you engage in the environmental impact study required by law and forgo the airport expansion. Also, place a navigational aid on the other runway so it can be used in poor visibility conditions as well as the one leading over our neighborhood.

Thank you.

Amy Preston

From: Mary Ellen Peppard <mempeppard@gmail.com>

Sent: Friday, October 14, 2016 10:44 AM

To: Rick L. Lucas

Subject: brram.org@gmail.com

To whom it may concern:

I understand there is a plan to significantly expand operations at the Trenton airport. This is deeply concerning. The flights that currently take off and land over our house are very disruptive. We have a three year old who is sometimes woken up by these airplanes. It is also difficult to enjoy having friends and family in our backyard as the noise level from the proximity of the takeoffs and landings makes conversation difficult. We have many young families in our neighborhood and it is troubling that the currently disruptive flight plans may be expanded. Please halt any plans for further expansion and instead work to mitigate the current level of noise and disruption.

Thank you for your time and consideration of my views. Sincerely,

Mary Ellen Peppard 13 1/2 Scammell Drive Yardley, PA 19067

From: Rodney Warner <rwarner09@hotmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, October 12, 2016 9:07 AM

To: Rick L. Lucas

Subject: comment on Mercer County airport expansion plan

To whom it may concern,

My family lives at 3 Brook Lane in Yardley. If you look at a map and draw a line over the current runway and extend it into PA that line will be over or near my house. We've lived here since 2004.

When we first moved here the business jets using the airport were an annoyance but it became far worse when Frontier started flying.

- It's difficult if not impossible to have a conversation with someone outside my house if a Frontier plane is overhead (low enough so the landing gear is down).
- I have an old push lawn mower. If I'm mowing my lawn the noise from the Frontier jet above me is louder than the noise from the lawn mower next to me. If anyone's interested I'll be happy to produce my lawn mower so you can measure how loud it is.
- The supposed existing 11pm curfew is a joke. It's violated frequently. One summer morning at about 3 am, windows in our house were open and the sound from the jet over my house was so loud it woke me up and I jumped out of bed because I was afraid it was going to crash into my house.

The benefits of the airport are widespread but its costs to the environment are to those of us nearby and under the flight path. The airport has its supporters, few, I imagine, are in our situation. The noise and frequency of has impacted our quality of life and given the possible increase in flights that impact could be far worse. When we sell our house its price will reflect the noise we deal with.

There should be a hard ban on landings (and take offs) after 11 pm. If a flight is delayed enough that it can't make it there by 11 pm the airline should cancel the flight. Other airports have such curfews, this one should too.

It's my understanding the airport in the past agreed to have an environmental impact study done before an airline moved in or an expansion is done. It was not done before Frontier came on the scene and as far as I know it's not planned before this expansion. At the very least such a study, one that's not arranged for the airport's benefit but is true and accurate as to the airport's impact, should be done to lessen its impact. I'm disappointed, but not surprised, the airport is more than willing to run over those of us impacted by the airport without a care. We're not making this stuff up.

If you want to reach me you can write back or call me at 215-595-8229. Thank you for your time and consideration.

Rodney Warner

From: Michael Donohue <mdonohue93@gmail.com>

Sent: Friday, October 14, 2016 8:55 AM

To: Rick L. Lucas

Cc:Julia Donohue; bbram.org@gmail.comSubject:comments on trenton airport expansion

To Whom it May concern,

I am a nearby PA resident who is extremely concerned about a possible expansion of flights out of Trenton. I just received notice that there are plans to go from 12 to 68 flights per day. This was fascinating as the 12 flights currently already feels like 68 flights. Who knew that 12 flights could be that noticeable and disruptive to our daily lives. A five fold increase in flights is unimaginable in terms of noise, disruption, pollution, and destruction of our property value. those flights pass what seems like only 100 feet over our home. The FAA needs to consider these factors as well as impact on PA residents, not just NJ residents. As I mentioned, these flights are passing directly over the heads of my family including over four children already. I do not agree with this expansion. Please keep me informed as this progresses since it seems as though this is being implemented without our input or approval.

Regards,

Michael Donohue 1012 University Drive Yardley, PA 19067

From: lsw215@verizon.net

Sent: Friday, October 14, 2016 6:38 PM

To: Rick L. Lucas

Subject:Fwd: Airport Expansion Comments-RevisedAttachments:To Airport Management at Trenton.doc

------ Original Message ------From: lsw215@verizon.net Date: Oct 14, 2016 5:53:12 PM

Subject: Airport Expansion Comments-Revised

To: Trenton@mjinc.com Cc: brram.org@gmail.com To Airport Management at Trenton-Mercer Airport: RE: Expansion-Revised

It is untenable to expand the Trenton-Mercer Airport beyond its current capacity without making significant adjustments in the flight approaches and departures.

The planes as they approach to land from the West are much too low and cause my home to shake and rattle the windows. I can observe the printing on the side of the planes and clearly see the landing gear. This area was never designed to accommodate such large planes.

- 1. We are constantly apprehensive that someday one of these planes will crash into our homes due to pilot error, weather conditions, tower staffing, aircraft malfunctions especially with the MD 80's.
- 2. We worry that the jet fuel emission falling so close to the ground are a pollutant and hazardous to our children's health.
- 3. We think it's only a matter of time before a flock of geese or wild ducks are sucked into the engines on landing or take off. There is a constant non-seasonal population of these birds which are daily seen in the flight zone. Remember the incident over the Hudson River with Capt. Sully? His plane was brought down by such an event.

We worry about the constant noise and sense that the planes are on top of your home at such a close altitude that you can clearly see the writing and landing gear of the planes.

We also know that the effects of the planes are financial and will result in a diminution in value of the homes as the quality of life is eroded bit by bit, plane by plane.

The following requirements must be addressed:

- 1. Environmental assessment on the impact to the wild life, flora and fauna and water quality in the Delaware River and surrounding water sources and impact on drinking water.
- 2. A tax on each seat, filled or empty, on every arrival and departure to be paid to each and every resident taxpayer who resides in or owns property within the flight zone.

This is similar to the payments received by other communities for accepting a nuisance within their borders such as Waste Management pays to the residents of Tullytown for accepting our garbage.

- 3. A reduction in the real estate tax assessments for all residents in the flight zone to be initiated by their respective local governments.
- 4. An equal share of arrival and take offs between PA and NJ.
- 5. Multiple takeoff and landing runways so that there is a mixing of flight paths.
- 6. No arrivals or departures before 6 AM and after 10 PM.
- 7. Increase the altitude at approach and landing and coming in/out over the Delaware River. Many airports have steeper landing and approaches like Lake Havasu.
- 8. Comply with existing Federal and State Environmental Impact Study requirements and guidelines.
- 9. Each airline and the airport to fund the costs of legal proceedings in court to represent the residents

Submitted by: Lawrence and Barbara Wind

1054 Buck Creek Circle, Yardley, PA 19067 OCTOBER 14, 2016

From: Don <stepdon@verizon.net>
Sent: Friday, October 14, 2016 9:29 AM

To: Rick L. Lucas

Subject: Fwd: Trenton Airport Noise

PS: My address is 143 windsor rd, Yardley PA -----Original Message-----

From: Don

Date: Oct 5, 2016 3:04:50 PM Subject: Trenton Airport Noise To: Trenton@mjinc.com

Planes are flying over my house in Yardley Boro at an increasing rate, at sleeping hours of 6am and 11pm, and at very high noise levels at all times of the day. . As a senior citizen, it is affecting my ability to sleep and my general health and well-being.

I realize your need to conduct your business but I ask that you refrain from any increase traffic over Yardley.

Sincerely,

Don Canepa

From: elainereitmeier@gmail.com

Sent: Friday, October 14, 2016 10:33 PM

To: Rick L. Lucas

Cc: brram.org@gmail.com

Subject: I oppose Trenton Airport expansion

Dear Sir/Madam-

I am extremely distressed to learn of the expansion plans that have been set forth in the Trenton Airport Master Plan.

The current landing pattern and flight schedules have been extremely disruptive to our quality of life. They routinely wake us up from sleep and disrupt our indoor conversation, reading and TV watching. Enjoying the quiet of our outdoors is extremely upsetting when a large airliner comes screaming overhead at nearly treetop level! The prospect of a greatly increased number of flights - to 34 flights per day - is extremely disturbing.

It is unconscionable that these plans have been made without proper review and a full Environmental Impact Study being conducted by the FAA and without any consideration to the distribution of flights and flight paths over residential areas in both Pennsylvania and New Jersey. The FAA should conduct such a study and appropriate public hearings before approving any additional expansion of the Trenton airport.

Specifically, among the impact topics that a formal Environmental Impact Study must consider are:

- Impact of noise, vibrations, air pollution, land and water pollution, sleep disruptions, and safety concerns on health, mental and physical well being of residents.
- Financial impacts on property values.
- Impact on historical, architectural, archaeological & cultural resources including historic Yardley, historic Washington Crossing, Delaware Canal parklands, Delaware River scenic drives.

Moreover, the current 15-day comment period clearly demonstrates the utter disregard for gathering input and making fair consideration of the impact to residents in the planning process. There needs to be appropriate oversight of airport operations by a dual-state, bi-partisan independent commission that fairly considers distributing the impact of any expansion fairly among residents of ALL surrounding communities. Specifically:

- There should be an independent commission to oversee and enforce airport and airline behavior and to address citizen complaints.
- There should be an impartial mechanism to ensure a fair distribution of flights over PA and NJ, with each state sharing the flight burden equally.
- Flight curfews should be put in place to limit evening and nighttime operations
- Planning meetings should be held in Lower Makefield Township
- More public notice should be provided for public planning meetings, including wider notification, earlier notification, and notification to regional governing institutions including Yardley Boro, Lower Makefield Township, and Upper Makefield Township.

- More time should be allowed for public comment, than the 15 day period for the initial planning meeting.
- Means for public recourse need to be provided

Finally, with today's aviation technology, it is ridiculous and unconscionable that landing patterns are concentrated over residential areas in Pennsylvania. Approach patterns could easily be shifted slightly to follow Interstate-95, rather than directly over nearby residential areas. In addition, ILS capability should be planned for additional runways, so that instrument landings can occur from NJ as well as PA approaches.

I strongly urge Trenton Airport planners to consider these recommendations and the views of Pennsylvania citizens in its planning of any expansion to Trenton Airport operations.

Elaine Reitmeier 1124 S. Houston Rd Yardley, PA 19067

Sent from Mail for Windows 10

From: Jennifer Cannon < jennifercannon29@gmail.com>

Sent: Friday, October 14, 2016 9:33 AM

To: Rick L. Lucas **Subject:** Increase of flights

To Whom it may concern,

I am a citizen of Bucks county PA. While I understand that the airport has a right to pursue growth and expansion, the people living in the nearby communities should be considered. How will it impact us with increased noise? How will it impact our property values? I am in favor of the Federal Aviation Administration going through the proper channels when it comes to the airport expansion and conducting the Environmental Impact Statement study. The community needs noise to be mitigated and controls put in place to minimize disruption. To go from 12 flights a day to 68 is a dramatic increase. The flight paths need to go over NJ as well. It is an airport in NJ, they should have more flight paths directed in its own state.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Cannon

From: Darrell Grysko <grysko4@verizon.net>
Sent: Thursday, October 13, 2016 10:14 AM

To: Rick L. Lucas

Cc: brram.org@gmail.com; Rep. Steve Santarsiero

Subject: Increased Air Traffic over Yardley, PA

Way to go, McFarland Johnson!

I am a resident of Yardley, PA, and I don't appreciate your company sneaking in a Master Plan for the Trenton Airport without giving ample notice to the residents of Yardley to attend the public meetings.

This "Master Plan" you have concocted for the Trenton Airport has major impact on our community— a once quiet community that's been around since the 1600's.

Since that crappy Spirit Airlines has been flying over Yardley all times of day and night, the residents have experienced heightened levels of noise pollution. **Increasing the flights from 12 to 68 take-offs/landings a day is OUTRAGEOUS AND UNACCEPTABLE!**

This shocking proposal of yours has a grave impact on all Yardley property owners, yet your company chose to try and sneak it through without giving proper notice of a public meeting to hear the voices of Yardley residents.

SHAME ON YOU. WE DESERVE BETTER.

You need to follow the rules, which is why I am including State Representative Steve Santarsiero on this communication. Maybe the PA legislature can protect the rights of residents against companies who choose to ignore proper protocol.

Your plan is unacceptable McFarland Johnson. We will fight you every step of the way. And next time—have the balls to give the residents of Yardley sufficient notice to attend your public "planning" meetings.

Sincerely, Darrell Grysko

215-687-5578

From: pkawoczka@aol.com

Sent: Thursday, October 13, 2016 1:20 PM

To: Rick L. Lucas

Subject: Increased flights and landings

To whom it may concern.

Additional flights into and out of the Trenton airport are not acceptable.

When sitting in our backyard in Lower Makefield we can not hear each other when planes are taking off and landing.

Why does it seem like you are ignoring the law and blindly forcing this issue.

The increase to 68 take offs per day also means 68 landings per day. This will result in approximately 8 takeoffs/landings per hour.

Have environmental studies been completed and if so, were the results made public?

Paul W. Kawoczka

Lower Makefield Resident

From: hjburke@comcast.net

Sent: Friday, October 14, 2016 6:46 PM

To: Rick L. Lucas

Subject: Master Plan, Trenton- Mercer Airport

We are opposed to the Trenton-Mercer airport master plan which calls for five times as many flights per day and a substantial increase in the number of gates over the next five to fifteen years.

We are senior citizens who live in a community affected by the current noise level. This plan, if approved, will only add to the already unacceptable noise level.in our neighborhood. The current traffic is disturbing during the late evening and early morning hours. This plan will make a bad situation even worse.

Please consider our petition keeping in mind the value of being a good neighbor by recognizing the hardship the adoption of this plan will bring to our neighborhood.

Respectfully,

Harry & Ann Burke Villas of Newtown Newtown, Pa 18940

.

hjburke@comcast.net

From:	Lester Levin < llevin1325@gmail.com>
Sent:	Tuesday, October 11, 2016 1:25 PM

To: Rick L. Lucas

Subject: Master Plan update-Environmental Overview

Sir:

I was unable to attend the Sept. 29 meeting and review the subject. Master Plan update. In order to address any comments, could you kindly e-mail me the portion of the plan which deals specifically with the

Environmental Overview. My professional background has been in the environmental area including community and industrial noise measurements and community/state noise codes

and noise control recommendations.

Thank you,

Lester Levin, M.S.

149 Windsor Road Yardley, PA 19067

Tel. 215-4934-6633

From: Mark Edelman <4edelmanz@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, October 14, 2016 11:48 PM

To: Rick L. Lucas

Cc: brram.org@gmail.com

Subject: Mercer County Airport Expansion

As a long time resident of Yardley and Lower Bucks County I am very concerned about the rapid and seemingly uncontrolled expansion of Mercer County Airport. Growing up here I can remember when the airport served just small planes and there were no noise issues with any of the surrounding areas to the airport. Now unfortunately that is not the case. I can use the Frontier Airlines flight that flies over my house practically every morning at 6:05 as my alarm clock. Then when I'm sleeping its not uncommon for me to be awaken after 11:00 PM or even at 2:00 or 3:00 AM with the sound of jets flying over my house. Sometimes they even fly so low that no only is the noise deafening but the windows in my house vibrate.

The fact that Mercer County Airport is even being considered for expansion is beyond my comprehension. An environmental impact study was never even done when Frontier Airlines started flying out of Mercer. And now you want to add another airline and more flights to schedule?

What's the rush? Why not take the time to do the environmental mental impact study? Unless you already know the results and are afraid what they'll turn up.

There needs to be more thought and public input into this expansion. Rules and guidelines need to established, such as:

flight curfews and fines for the violation of those curfews. Additional planning meetings need to be held in several locations around Lower and Upper Makefield and areas of Mercer County to make sure that everyone has a chance to express their opinions as to how this will effect their quality of life.

I know this is naive but whatever happened to being a good neighbor? Just because it might be good for Mercer County doesn't make it good for everyone else around. Why do thousands of innocent people have to be affected by the constant sounds of airliners over their houses or the roar of the engines when they land.

Please take the time to review all these issues and what the impact would be to ALL the surrounding areas before you decide to just blindly agree to expand the airport.

P.S. It's 11:43 PM as I write this and a Frontier jet just flew over my house towards the airport and minute ago and now I can hear the engines roaring to help slow the plane down. And you want to increase the number of flights to and from the airport? Really?

Mark Edelman 1306 University Dr. Yardley, PA 19067

From: Daria Long <dcljunk@outlook.com>
Sent: Friday, October 14, 2016 11:03 PM

To: Rick L. Lucas

Subject: Mercer County Airport expansion

I am writing to express my opposition to the airport expansion.

The Trenton Mercer Airport expansion project needs to consider the effect on PA residence as well as NJ residents and an impartial group should ensure that the burden of the current flight paths is equally distributed over PA and NJ communities.

The FAA should comply with the environmental impact study before considering any further expansion.

Maura Lavelle 1023 Culti Packer Road Morrisville, PA 19067-4227

From: RICHARD EICKHOFF <rdeickhoff@comcast.net>

Sent: Thursday, October 13, 2016 5:01 PM

To: Rick L. Lucas; brram.org@gmail.com; Richard Eickhoff

Subject: Mercer/Trenton Airport Master Plan

As a 60+ year resident of Bucks County and a 35+ year adult, taxpaying, resident of the Yardley-Morrisville community, I (we) would like to voice our **concern and dissatisfaction** over the proposed increase of air traffic at Trenton/Mercer Airport.

The current volume of traffic, rattles our windows and doors MORE THAN enough, each day. Additional air traffic would simply be unacceptable. We understand that change is inevitable but the amount of increased air traffic that we have been forced to endure over the last 20 years is sufficient for a lifetime of change. The proposed increase impacts our lifelong home in ways that cannot begin to be measured. Enough is enough! Please halt the proposed construction of future runway/taxi ways and the increased air traffic it would enable.

Sincerely,

Richard and Deborah Eickhoff

901 Planter Drive

Yardley, PA 19067

From: Hank Lieberman <hkl9232@comcast.net>

Sent: Friday, October 14, 2016 8:20 PM

To: Rick L. Lucas

Subject: Mercer airport expansion

My wife and I live in Upper Makefield and have lived here for nearly 40 years. I am a former member of the township planning commission. We are strongly opposed to the proposed expansion of the Mercer County airport. The flights as presently scheduled are already very noisy and they constantly disturb us. To expand the number of flights by over five fold is totally unacceptable and would severely and negatively affect both our quality of life and the value of our property as well as our neighbor's and those of many other residents of our township. This airport was built as and intended to be a small regional airport. When we built our homes we relied on that being the case. It would be wrong for the County or any related entity to allow the Airport to engage in an expansion which was never envisioned by local residents and which would be disruptive to our lives. Please do not permit this to happen.

Sincerely,

Henry and Kathleen Lieberman 315 Stoneybrook Road Newtown (Upper Makefield) Pa 18940 215-688-3338 Hkl9232@comcast.net

Sent from Xfinity Connect Mobile App

From: Timothy Stauffer <tbstauffer@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, October 13, 2016 9:21 AM

To: Rick L. Lucas

Cc: brram.org@gmail.com

Subject: Mercer airport

I'm writing in regard to the proposed increase in flights from the Trenton airport. I live in Yardley and am constantly annoyed at the planes landing and taking off above my house. They're often very low and also very loud. Many mornings they wake me up, conversations have to be stopped until they pass, it's a constant nuisance. I really despise them. I want them to be stopped completely, not increased. It's a matter of quality of life and it diminished by the noise of these planes.

We get no benefit from this airport, no taxes paid to PA, no jobs etc. But we bear the burden of their noise and air pollution. It's completely unfair. I believe all flights should be taking off and landing over New Jersey, not PA.

Sincerely, Tim Stauffer

From: Barry S. Wagner <BarrySWagner@comcast.net>

Sent: Friday, October 14, 2016 7:50 PM

To: Rick L. Lucas
Cc: 'Barry S. Wagner'

Subject: My comments on the TTN Master Plan Update

Dear reader,

I have the following issues with the above-mentioned plan:

- 1 The plan calls for the upgrading of the taxi-way that is parallel to runway 6-24. This upgrading will allowing for the stacking of additional planes for takeoff on that runway. As the takeoffs from that runway are mainly from the "24" side, this will lead to additional flights over Yardley and the other communities in close proximity to Yardley. The plan does not present any information indicating that any additional takeoffs will occur from the "6" side. Additional takeoffs from the "6" side, would decrease the number of takeoffs from the "24" side and thus spread the noise more evenly over all of the communities that surround the airport.
- 2 Currently, the only instrumented runway is the "24" side of runway 6-24. This means that when the weather results in reduced visibility, instrumented landings can only occur when planes approach the airport from over Yardley and its surrounding communities. This presents additional noise and safety issues that do not affect any of the New jersey communities that surround the airport. The 6-24 runway should be upgraded so that the "6" side is also instrumented.
- 3 Flights have grown from 2 per week to 12 per day, and plans are to increase the number of flights to 34 per day. The FAA needs to consider the cumulative impact of all of these increases as well as the expansion plan as a whole, and order an Environmental Impact Study before considering whether to grant this request.

Respectfully Submitted, Barry S. Wagner 393 Cobbler Court Yardley, PA 19067-4753



This email has been checked for viruses by Avast antivirus software. www.avast.com



This email has been checked for viruses by Avast antivirus software. www.avast.com

From: Lois Bittman < Lbittman@aol.com>
Sent: Friday, October 14, 2016 4:27 PM

To: Rick L. Lucas

Subject: Newark airport expansion in Trenton

To whom it may concern,

I live beside the St Mary Medical Center and the new rehabilitation center in Langhorne and am urging you to cease your plans to expand current air traffic over our area. The present air traffic is disturbing enough!

Thank you,

Lois and Michael Bittman

Sent from my iPad

From: KARLA JONES <kmdjones@verizon.net> **Sent:** Wednesday, October 12, 2016 8:21 PM

To: Rick L. Lucas

Cc: brram.org@gmail.com

Subject: No Expansion

Hello!

I do not want the expansion for the following reasons:

Thank you,

Karla Davis Jones

Topics you might want to include in your email: •Flights have grown from 2 per week to 12 per day, with plans to expand to 34 flights per day in the future. Insist that the FAA consider the cumulative impact of these flights and the expansion plan as a whole, and order an Environmental Impact Study before considering whether to grant this request. •There should be an impartial mechanism to ensure a fair distribution of flights over PA and NJ, with each state sharing the flight burden equally. •There should be an independent commission to enforce airport and airline behavior, such as flight curfews, to address citizen complaints. •Flight curfews and fines for violation of curfews should be put in place. •Additional planning meetings should be held in Lower Makefield Township, to ensure PA public comment is heard. •More public notice should be provided for public planning meetings, including wider notification, earlier notification, and notification to regional governing institutions including Yardley Boro, Lower Makefield Township, and Upper Makefield Township. •More time should be granted for public comment, than the 15 day period for the initial planning meeting. •ILS capability should be planned for additional runways, so that instrument landings can occur from NJ as well as PA approaches. •What public recourse exists to challenge findings presented in the November Airport Master Plan Public Meeting? •Please clarify the planned December draft FAA Submittal. What does this submittal consist of, Is it legally binding, or are there mandatory follow on activities with opportunity for public comment. What recourse do citizens have? •The airport has an equal or greater impact on PA citizens than on NJ citizens. PA citizens contribute equally to fund FAA. What rights do PA citizens have around the expansion of the airport? How will their concerns influence the rulings of the FAA? •The following environmental impacts need to be thoroughly evaluated in a formal EIS: oImpact on community youth from flight paths over numerous NJ and PA schools in close proximity olmpact of noise, vibrations, air pollution, land and water pollution, sleep disruptions, and safety concerns on health, mental and physical well being. Financial impacts on property values. Impact on historical, architectural, archaeological & cultural resources including historic Yardley, historic Washington Crossing, Delaware Canal parklands, Delaware River scenic drives. •Fish, Wildlife and Plants •Farmlands •Note the impacts of the airport, such as noise and sleep disruptions, that have specifically impacted you personally.

From: Ron Gallagher <rgallagher@McCaffreyAssoc.com>

Sent: Friday, October 14, 2016 10:02 PM

To: Rick L. Lucas
Subject: No Expansion

To Whom It May Concern,

I am strongly against the expansion of the Trenton/Mercer Airport. I have owned my home at 207 Wheatsheaf Lane in Langhorne, Pa for over 30 years. In the last several years, I have noticed a dramatic increase in the noise of the flights currently using the airport. Now an additional airline has signed on to use the airport. Enough! Homeowners have chosen the Bucks County area to live due to its wonderful attributes. Wonderful neighborhoods, historic towns, gorgeous scenery, and great school systems. It has been a wonderful place to live and raise a family. More noise pollution, low flying jets, and an interruption to the general quiet of the surrounding suburban areas is certainly not a welcome, (or necessary) addition to our lives. I'm extremely lucky to live very close to Core Creek and Tyler Parks. The thought of increased air traffic ruining the wonderful tranquility of the parks is unsettling. And thinking of the impact on many other equally beautiful locations in our area angers me. As a volunteer, coach, and President of our local Athletic Association, I can't imagine our children playing soccer, baseball and lacrosse under the constant din of large aircraft taking off and landing at the airport. I didn't choose to buy a home, and raise a family in Essington, PA. I chose to live in Bucks County, with green space, parks, wooded areas, and beautiful rolling hills. Don't spoil this area, and negatively impact the quality of life for residents.

Flights can be easily accessed from Newark or Philadelphia International Airports. In over 60 years as a Philadelphia area native, I have not felt inconvenienced by the current commute or transit time to the aforementioned airports. If I wanted to live closer to an airport, I would have moved closer.

I feel quite strongly that our area does not need this expansion. Now that I have been informed of the possibility, I will make it a point to support and join the organization that opposes the expansion.

Sincerely,

Ron Gallagher 207 Wheatsheaf Lane Langhorne, PA 19047 267-275-7107 rgallagher@mccaffreyassoc.com

From: Mark Desroches <bikenfish@me.com>
Sent: Wednesday, October 05, 2016 3:23 PM

To: Rick L. Lucas

Subject: Noise

Planes are flying to close and disturbing my sleep especially early in the morning. Is there anyway to change the flight plan every once in awhile, instead of always the same takeoff direction?

Sent from my iPhone

From: Paul Lynn <pflyn@aol.com>
Sent: Friday, October 14, 2016 6:33 PM

To: Rick L. Lucas

Subject: Opposed to expansion at Mercer County Airport

As a property owner in Lower Makefield Township lining near the flight path of the Trenton Mercer Airport runway I am very concerned about any increase in the number of flights that will affect my quality of life. I am often bothered by the noise of low flying aircraft. I want to see an Environmental Impact Statement produced in the hope that it would result in mitigating noise pollution and putting other controls in place to minimize the negative impact on people who live in the vicinity.

I chose to live in Lower Makefield because of the quality of like here and don't want to see that eroded by an expansion without regard to the impact on the people who live here.

Sincerely,

Paul Lynn Fairway Drive Yardley PA, 19067

From: Gary Fedor < gfedor@sandler.com>
Sent: Friday, October 14, 2016 3:45 PM

To: Rick L. Lucas

Subject: Opposed to the Trenton Mercer Aiport expansion plan

Currently we have too many flights flying low directly over our house. We are opposed to the expansion plan.

Gary J. Fedor 907 Planter Dr Yardley PA

From: Dolly Straycat <straycat.dolly@gmail.com>

Sent:Friday, October 14, 2016 9:43 PMTo:Rick L. Lucas; brram.org@gmail.comSubject:Oppostion to ight increase TTN

Please take into consideration the impact that the master plan flight increase to 68 flights per day would have on the residents of Pennsylvania. Already my sleep is disturbed, the noise and vibration is tremendous. Often when I see the planes landing I wonder if they are at the correct altitude because they are so low to the ground. The current number of flights is tolerable, except when the planes seem much lower than usual, despite the final approach being directly over my street. Increasing the number would have a tremendous negative impact on my quality of life. Since moving here in the 1970s I have made accommodations to my daily life for increased air traffic, but 68 flights is unreasonable. The burden of flight paths is borne directly, almost exclusively, by my neighborhood. Please consider alternate flight paths that impact New Jersey residents who device the full benefit of the revenue generated. Please also consider significantly decreasing the number of flights in your master plan

Thank you,

Suzanne Margolis 215.800.7001

From: Jen Bennett < jenbennett411@yahoo.com>

Sent: Friday, October 14, 2016 11:30 PM

To: Rick L. Lucas

Cc: brram.org@gmail.com

Subject: PA Citizen: Request to Stop the Trenton Airport Expansion

To Whom it may Concern:

I am writing to communicate my shock and frustration over what I'm just learning about relative to a master plan to expand flights out of Trenton. I live in a new community called Scammer's Corner, which is at the intersection of West Afton and Rt. 332. This neighborhood is situated up a hill, so it's more elevated then other nearby communities. It's my understanding that at present, there are about twelve flights per day. Quite frankly, my family, my neighbors and I feel that it's currently too much of a disruption. My children and I have been frightened at the close proximity (perhaps 100 feet) that planes go over my back yard. The noise is certainly loud and unsettling, but my chief concern is the ridiculously close proximity. One night I captured video of what appeared to be a plane practically landing on my roof. I wish that I was being overly dramatic - but I'm not.

I've seen a letter that described a plan to increase to 68 take offs / landings per day. I find this completely unacceptable, and I'm looking into our rights to stop this from happening. What kind of outreach has there been to raise awareness and inform citizens of PA? What means do we have to voice our concerns? If there has been public notice to PA residents affected by the airport expansion, then I would argue that it's been intentionally minimal, or ineffective at best. Below I will outline some concerns and reasonable asks:

- The FAA needs to consider cumulative effect of the increase in flights as a whole
- FAA needs to comply with an environmental impact study how do I know that the noise and air pollution won't have a negative affect on my family?
- An impartial group should ensure the burden of flight path is equally distributed over PA and NJ communities

Again, I have to point out that it's already frightening. I've had nights where I had trouble sleeping after a plane seemed to skim the top of my trees, only to have the same noise with another plane follow ten - twelve minutes later. imagine the fear that my children have when they are playing in the backyard and worry that a plane is too low.

I knew there was an airport nearby in NJ when I began to build my house two years ago, but then it was my understanding that it was a small airport with just a few flights a day, with small planes that would not be noisy. Paying over \$20,000 in taxes on a home that cost in the mid 900k range, I now have the burden of worrying that if this plan should actually go into place, and I feel no choice but to move, this absolutely will have a negative impact on property value. I now have to worry about being able to sell my house, if it should come to that.

I urge you - to the extent possible, to please slow down and do the right thing by your PA neighbors. I've copied some of my neighbors here so that they are aware as well. Please stop this expansion.

I would appreciate a reply, with accurate information as well as appropriate notification of any future meetings.

Thank you for your time and attention.

Respectfully,

Jennifer Bennett 1017 University Dr. Yardley, PA 19067

From: Phillip Smith < philbo2112@gmail.com> **Sent:** Friday, October 14, 2016 8:47 PM

To: Rick L. Lucas

Subject: Please do not expand the Trenton Mercer Airport

To whom it may concern,

We are writing to ask you to not pursue or approve the plans to expand the Trencer Mercer airport. The large jets that fly in and out severely disrupt our quality of life. They are already too frequent, too loud, and cause too much air and noise pollution.

We live right across the Delaware river and are directly in the flight path. We are concerned that our voices have not been heard, and that you and your associates are too focused on corporate greed and expansion than on our rights. We do not need your airport or any of the jobs you claim it creates. We reject your justifications and ask you to please stop your efforts to expand.

Sincerely, Phillip and Nicole Smith 35 Highland Drive, Yardley, PA 19067 philbo2112@gmail.com

From: David Gefen <gefen.david@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, October 13, 2016 10:25 PM

To: Rick L. Lucas

Cc:brram.org@gmail.comSubject:Please listen to us too

Dear Committee,

I wish to protest that the residence of Yardley, PA were not invited to your informal public meeting to approve the Master Plan for Trenton Mercer Airport to be allowed to have 68 takeoffs and landings a day. As this clearly concerns us, because the planes are flying over our houses, it is unfair that our voices were not even requested, let alone heard. I realize you are a NJ agency and we are in PA, but this does affect our quality of life and potentially the value of our property.

Thank you

David Gefen

From: johnmccourt1024@gmail.com
Sent: Friday, October 14, 2016 1:43 PM

To: Rick L. Lucas

Cc: bram.org@gmail.com

Subject: Proposal to Increase Flights In/Out of Trenton Airport

As a resident of Yardley Pa. who would be significantly impacted by such an increase, I protest that I was not even aware of this proposal until yesterday. Additionally, I am someone who prides himself of being informed of my environment and things going on in my community. How is it possible that Trenton could do this without notice and comment from all affected parties/communities? I hope that myself and my community get an opportunity to present the full environmental and financial impacts that this decision would have on us.

Sent from my iPhone

From: Marian <marian.burns@verizon.net>
Sent: Friday, October 14, 2016 2:44 PM

To: Rick L. Lucas

Subject: Proposed Expansion Opposition

I am a retired homeowner in Lower Makefield Township, Bucks County, Pa. Airplanes fly over my home when going to and from Trenton-Mercer Airport. This makes me afraid of a possible crash and I am concerned every time I see (and hear!) low airplanes flying over my home and street. Honestly, one time my whole house shook. The aircraft noise is bothersome. I understand that there is a proposal to increase the air traffic and am writing to note my opposition to this expansion.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Marian Law Burns 1484 Heather Circle Yardley, PA. 19067

Sent from my iPhone

From: Duane Love <delove@duanelove.com>
Sent: Friday, October 14, 2016 5:00 PM

To: Rick L. Lucas

Subject: Proposed expansion

To whom it may concern

I can not begin to tell you how my life has changed just since frontier has started flying out of Trenton/mercer. I devoted my entire to working hard so I could move to wrightstown township in bucks county and did so on 6 acres in 2001 it was a quite an absolutely wonderful place to live & unfortunately I can not say that today. The noise from the flights in and out of mercer is truly disruptive as the planes fly so low over my property that it shacks the house. I'm not going to go on and on as I'm sure your concerns are not about disrupting my life but rather making money. All I can say is it is bad enough now I can not even imagine what it would be like if you were to increase the number of flights. I think if a study was conducted and anyone responsible for making this decision would realize what it has already done to living here would not vote in favor of any expansion. PLEASE !!

Duane Love

From: Don Wilcox <donald.wilcox@comcast.net>

Sent: Friday, October 14, 2016 12:14 AM

To: Rick L. Lucas

Subject: Public Comment on the TTN Airport Master Plan

I am writing to express my questions and concerns about the Trenton Airport Master Plan, for Public Comment.

I and my family have been impacted in a negative way by the growth of commercial airport flights at the Trenton Airport and feel that further increases in traffic will have impacts on our quality of life and health.

I worked in Philadelphia for many years and always pictured myself retiring to a tranquil, scenic setting, close enough to Philadelphia to enjoy its many attractions but far enough away to remove myself from the exhaust fumes, noise and other pollutants.

We purchased our dream house on the Delaware River after years of looking for a place such as this and have been thrilled to enjoy the scenic joys of this historic river. Only a block away from the historic Delaware River Canal towpath and linear park, we felt this was an ideal place to call home.

Over time, out enjoyment and enthusiasm have waned. Sitting on the porch enjoying the sunset over the trees of New Jersey, we now have our peace interrupted by the straining and whining as a commercial jet struggles into the air, roaring over head, visually and physically imposing in addition to the noise.

I walk along the towpath enjoying the beauty and historic significance of the canal, only to have a plane approaching the aircraft come storming over head. Feeling much closer than the few hundred feet off the ground I assume it is. Water fowl take flight, animals disperse, it feels like there are fewer that return each year.

As the son of an air force pilot who has taken many rides in small Cesnas, I like the sleepy airport across the way with the occasional pilot practicing touch and go's.

But the character has changed with the introduction and expansion in commercial flights. I not deeply regret the commitment I made to this location.

I can no longer enjoy my outdoor space and seek to wall myself off from the sights and sounds, to isolate myself from the noise.

My wife loves to have fresh air at night, when we sleep, but that has been disrupted and we rarely relent to have the windows open.

I have personally had my sleep disrupted many times as planes depart earlier than my planned wake up. And my sleep has been disrupted by planes landing after 11 on a regular bases and periodically as late as 2AM.

The come in bunches in the early morning and late evening. I wonder if that will not be even more dense in take offs and landings at these key times, with greater airport capacity and the ability to stack flights with a taxiway to the runway that leads right over Yardley and Lower Makefield.

I now work from home and my phone conferences are disrupted by the noise from these planes, literally. At times, the windows of my office, and other rooms, vibrate. I wonder if this will ultimately damage my home.

This impact on my sleep and interruptions to my work cause stress. I also experience stress in wondering what will happen if the frequency of flights continues to increase.

I worry about the current and future impact of the airport on my property values.

I feel that there are many airports in the vicinity that offer a wide variety of flights, times, prices and ease of access. I don't feel that Trenton adds any real value in that respect. I use Newark and Philadelphia and Allentown and that works out just fine for me.

I wonder about the basic fairness and how my voice can be heard when airlines violate curfew and when it seems a disproportionate number of flights are taking off or landing over PA, to the benefit of NJ.

I wonder whether Yardley will lose its historic charm and whether the parks, scenic river and wildlife will be endangered and diminished over time.

I wonder whether this will start a downward spiral in property values, real estate taxes and basic services that they provide.

These are grave concerns to me.

I have questions and further observations as noted below. I feel that PA does not get adequate notice, opportunity to understand and weigh in on the proceedings related to the Master Plan, and has no ability for individuals to have their concerns be hear or arbitrated going forward.

- Flights have grown from 2 per week to 12 per day, with plans to expand to 34 flights per day in the future. The FAA must consider the cumulative impact of these flights and the expansion plan as a whole, land order an Environmental Impact Study before considering whether to grant this request.
- There should be an impartial mechanism to ensure a fair distribution of flights over PA and NJ, with each state sharing the flight burden equally.
- There should be an independent commission to enforce airport and airline behavior, such as flight curfews, to address citizen complaints.
- Flight curfews and fines for violation of curfews should be put in place.
- Additional planning meetings should be held in Lower Makefield Township, to ensure PA public comment is heard.
- More public notice should be provided for public planning meetings, including wider notification, earlier notification, and notification to regional governing institutions including Yardley Boro, Lower Makefield Township, and Upper Makefield Township.
- More time should be granted for public comment, than the 15 day period for the initial planning meeting.
- ILS capability should be planned for additional runways, so that instrument landings can occur from NJ as well as PA approaches.
- What public recourse exists to challenge findings presented in the November Airport Master Plan Public Meeting?
- Please clarify the planned December draft FAA Submittal. What does this submittal consist of, Is it legally binding, or are there mandatory follow on activities with opportunity for public comment. What recourse do citizens have?
- The airport has an equal or greater impact on PA citizens that on NJ citizens. PA citizens contribute equally to fund FAA. What rights do PA citizens have around the expansion of the airport? How will their concerns influence the rulings of the FAA?
- The following environmental impacts need to be thoroughly evaluated in a formal EIS:
- o Impact on community youth from flight paths over numerous NJ and PA schools in close proximity o Impact of noise, vibrations, air pollution, land and water pollution, sleep disruptions, safety concerns on health, mental and physical well being.
 - o Financial impacts on property values.
- o Impact on historical, architectural, archaeological & cultural resources including historic Yardley, historic Washington Crossing, Delaware Canal parklands, Delaware River scenic drives.
 - o Fish, Wildlife and Plants
 - o Farmlands
- Social justice and the impact on economically disadvantaged Ewing Township.

Donald Wilcox 81 N. Delaware Ave. Yardley, PA 19067

From: Bruce Hoechner < Bruce.Hoechner@rogerscorporation.com>

Sent: Friday, October 14, 2016 11:24 PM

To: Rick L. Lucas

Subject: Public Comment – Trenton Mercer Airport – Master Plan Update

To Whom it may concern,

As a Lower Makefield property owner, I am concerned about the long term implications to Lower Makefield township if the airport continues to expand its operations without being held accountable for its impact on our township. I realize the consequences of having a major international airport that allows frequent, low flying planes over my township could ultimately be very harmful to my property values and quality of life. Already today the additional aircraft traffic and its associated noise is interrupting my business meetings. It is unconscionable that the FAA is not working with <u>all</u> affected municipalities to assess the impact that the expansion of TTN's commercial air traffic will have on the local population. Before the FAA accepts this proposed plan by Urban Engineers, I request that the FAA immediately conduct an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) to measure the impact of the current and proposed future airport operations on many aspects of our township: noise, air, water, wild life, schools, park etc. to determine if it makes sense to expand operations in such a heavily populated, historical area.

Best Regards, Bruce

Bruce Hoechner

President and Chief Executive Officer Office: +1 860 779 4049 | Mobile: +1 215 208 0223



One Technology Drive | Rogers, CT 06263 USA bruce.hoechner@rogerscorp.com | www.rogerscorp.com

Helping power, protect, connect our world

This email message from Rogers Corporation, and any files that accompany it, are for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply email and destroy all copies of the original message. All e-mail sent to or from this address will be received and recorded by the Rogers Corporation corporate e-mail system and may be archived or subject to monitoring, review, and/or disclosure to parties other than the recipient. Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail.

From: Judith Hoechner <jhoechner@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, October 14, 2016 11:57 PM

To: Rick L. Lucas

Subject: Public comment for Sept 29, 2016 TTN Master Plan update

I attended the meeting and appreciate the opportunity to review the project and discuss it with the Urban Engineers.

This is my input:

- 1) The FAA has asked for public transparency with these plans but I have these concerns:
- 1) The notice for the planning meeting was hidden in the classified adds. With something this significant there should be direct communication with the supervisors, mayors and freeholders on both the NJ and PA sides of the river.
 - 2) Urban Engineers have been hired with public money to develop this plan for at least a year but when requests have been made to the airport personnel or to the office of Brian Hughes for information regarding plans for the airport the active development of this master plan has never been mentioned.
- 3) The NEPA expert at Urban Engineers seemed unaware that the biased Fonsi from the mid 2000's was thrown out by a federal judge with a ruling that an EIS must be performed before a low cost- high frequency airline could operate at TTN. A different Urban Engineer personnel seemed fully aware of this history. Not acknowledging very significant events in the evolution of this airport gives an air of deception and lack of transparency.
- 2) With the changes to the taxi way it will be possible to stack the planes for takeoff. The impact on homes within the flight path will be destructive to home values and quality of life. Even if the passenger demand does not call for stacking of planes, courier planes like Fed Ex could fill up that volume. The FAA should consider the full use of the airport when performing the required EIS and not just consider the expected passenger demand.
- 3) The Urban Engineer personnel indicated that the airport is not expanding because it is not currently making full use of the run ways. The definition of expanding should be increasing the operating capability of the airport. This would include but not limited to: paving to increase use of the gates, enlargement of the terminal, changes to the taxi way to increase the numbers of flights per and addition of parking lots.
- 4) The current operation of Frontier Airline is an expansion of airport operations and is having a significant impact of the surrounding areas. An EIS should be performed to understand what impact the changing of TTN from a small Piper cub field to an international airport with a low cost- high frequency airline is having on the surrounding communities and environment.

Judith Hoechner

From: Judith Hoechner <jhoechner@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, October 14, 2016 11:57 PM

To: Rick L. Lucas

Subject: Public comment for Sept 29, 2016 TTN Master Plan update

I attended the meeting and appreciate the opportunity to review the project and discuss it with the Urban Engineers.

This is my input:

- 1) The FAA has asked for public transparency with these plans but I have these concerns:
- 1) The notice for the planning meeting was hidden in the classified adds. With something this significant there should be direct communication with the supervisors, mayors and freeholders on both the NJ and PA sides of the river.
 - 2) Urban Engineers have been hired with public money to develop this plan for at least a year but when requests have been made to the airport personnel or to the office of Brian Hughes for information regarding plans for the airport the active development of this master plan has never been mentioned.
- 3) The NEPA expert at Urban Engineers seemed unaware that the biased Fonsi from the mid 2000's was thrown out by a federal judge with a ruling that an EIS must be performed before a low cost- high frequency airline could operate at TTN. A different Urban Engineer personnel seemed fully aware of this history. Not acknowledging very significant events in the evolution of this airport gives an air of deception and lack of transparency.
- 2) With the changes to the taxi way it will be possible to stack the planes for takeoff. The impact on homes within the flight path will be destructive to home values and quality of life. Even if the passenger demand does not call for stacking of planes, courier planes like Fed Ex could fill up that volume. The FAA should consider the full use of the airport when performing the required EIS and not just consider the expected passenger demand.
- 3) The Urban Engineer personnel indicated that the airport is not expanding because it is not currently making full use of the run ways. The definition of expanding should be increasing the operating capability of the airport. This would include but not limited to: paving to increase use of the gates, enlargement of the terminal, changes to the taxi way to increase the numbers of flights per and addition of parking lots.
- 4) The current operation of Frontier Airline is an expansion of airport operations and is having a significant impact of the surrounding areas. An EIS should be performed to understand what impact the changing of TTN from a small Piper cub field to an international airport with a low cost- high frequency airline is having on the surrounding communities and environment.

Judith Hoechner

From: Brendan Monaghan <Brendan.Monaghan@cbrands.com>

Sent: Thursday, October 13, 2016 5:00 PM **To:** Ruthanne Boylan; Rick L. Lucas

Cc:brram.org@gmail.com; Joanne GuinivenSubject:RE: Concerns - Trenton Airport Master Plan

Mercer Airport:

Trenton Mercer has been charged with a federal order to conduct an Environmental Impact study and you choose to ignore the mandate.

The flights pollute our skies, disrupt our lives with the early morning and late night noise which shakes our homes under the flight path.

Your runways are built to direct traffic ONLY over Pennsylvania and not over New Jersey.

These actions place our local school children at both public and private elementary schools under the take-off and landing paths at great risk and peril if something were to go amiss.

Yet, the decisions made by those in New Jersey continue the trend of placing Pennsylvanians at risk of lifestyle erosion, health concerns and diminished property values.

Controls are needed over how often these jets fly over us. Time curfews need to be enacted (like John Wayne airport in California. Noise level maximums need to be mandated.

All we are asking is Trenton Mercer to be compliant with federal law and to be a good neighbor. Please perform the EIS!

Brendan Monaghan

1585 Harvest Drive

P: 215.321.3049 | M: 215.534.9411 | brendan.monaghan@cbrands.com

From: Ruthanne Boylan [mailto:ruthanne@verizon.net]

Sent: Thursday, October 13, 2016 2:13 PM

To: trenton@mjinc.com

Cc: brram.org@gmail.com; Joanne Guiniven; Brendan Monaghan

Subject: Concerns - Trenton Airport Master Plan

As a resident of Lower Makefield Township (Bucks County, PA), I'm writing to express my concerns about the Trenton Airport Master Plan.

The departing and arriving jets can be extremely noisy. I walk 3 to 4 miles with friends every morning from 5:30a to 6:30a — if a flight passes overhead while we are outside, conversation is interrupted because of the noise. With additional air traffic this would be totally unacceptable.

Indoor noise is also a problem. Sometimes it is loud enough to wake us from a sound sleep. I've even had to interrupt business phone calls because of noise from low-flying jets when my windows are open. More flights again will lead to an increasingly intolerable situation.

There are several elementary schools under or close to the flight path. I'm very concerned about safety should flight problems occur near the schools. This exposure is compounded with heavier air traffic.

Per guidelines, a formal EIS should be completed prior to any expansion. This has not been completed. Without question, this EIS should be part of the planning for the airport.

The airport could potentially have severe impacts on property values and quality of life for the surrounding communities in both NJ and PA. These concerns need to be considered/addressed by Trenton Mercer Airport.

I'm requesting joint planning between Trenton Airport authorities and surrounding communities (particularly LMT) along with completion of the EIS ASAP.

Thanks in advance for your consideration.

Ruthanne Boylan 1585 Harvest Drive Yardley, PA 19067 215-337-1156

From: Cliff Heaton <cliffheat@verizon.net>
Sent: Thursday, October 13, 2016 4:16 PM

To: Rick L. Lucas

Cc:brram.org@gmail.com; cliffheat@verizon.netSubject:Re: Comment on Trenton-Mercer Airport Expansion

I apologize for the formatting being lost in my email below! On 10/13/16, Cliff Heaton wrote:

To Whom It May Concern,

6:04 AM. That is the time I was woken up this morningby a jet taking off from Trenton-Mercer airport. I have lived in Yardley and Lower Makefield Township, PA formore than 20 years, and the increase in noise and disturbance from flightsgoing to and from the airport over the past two years has been verydistressing. The daily disturbances to my family include the following:

- Loud take offs beginning at 6 AM waking us up every day of theweek.
- Loud take offs causing disruptions throughout the day, includingwaking my two-year old daughter from her naps in the afternoon; disruptingevery meal of the day; and even being heard over the loud noise of my lawnmower in the summer.
- Planes circling low over our house on landing approach to theairport.
- Late loud landings coming even after 1 AM.

I have submitted hundreds of complaints through the airport's websitebut have not received a single response. I believe that this lack ofresponse is indicative of the airport's lack of concern for its neighbors in Pennsylvania.

I believe that the recent expansion of the airport has already had a significant negative impact on the quality of life in the surrounding area. To learn that a major additional expansion is planned, without an Environmental Impact Study, is very worrisome.

In writing to you, I am adding my support for the following:

- Conducting an Environmental Impact Study to assess the environmental, societal, historical, and psychological impact on the area
- Ensuring that public comment is heard from Pennsylvaniaresidents through meetings in affected areas (like Lower Makefield Township)
- Explaining the rights of PA residents regarding the plannedexpansion Sincerely, Cliff Heaton 1248 Madison Drive Yardley, PA 19067 215-260-1131

From: Pamela Golden <pamat1310@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, October 13, 2016 10:56 PM

To: Rick L. Lucas

Cc: brram.org@gmail.com

Subject: Re: Trenton Airport increase of flights

To Whom it May Concern,

It has been brought to my attention that a master plan has been submitted to increase from 12 to 68 take-offs / landings per day at the Trenton New Jersey (NJ) Airport. I appreciate the potential business implications of this proposed expansion, but I currently live on the flight path in Yardley, Pennsylvania (PA). The current level of traffic from this airport is significant and is currently an issue for our home. An increase to this traffic would be unbearable. The noise from the flights over our home have made it difficult for us to work and concentrate.

The airport has an equal or greater impact on PA citizens then on NJ citizens. As I am sure you are aware, PA citizens contribute equally to fund the FAA. Can you please advise me of what rights do PA citizens have around the proposed expansion of the airport? Has anyone discussed this with the residents in my area or our township or PA government officials?

I would appreciate providing me with details of this proposed increase, including time line, approvals that have already been given and who actually approved it, as well as what other approvals are required and who from PA was involved. I would also like to know if the additional flights would be mostly over NJ or PA. I would assume since all the financial advantages of this airport are taken in NJ, the additional flights should follow that flight path.

I look forward to hearing back from you on these requests and hope that you have considered the effect of this proposal to the PA residents who are already suffering from the current level of traffic from the Trenton Airport.

Kind regards Pamela Golden 831 Combine Lane Yardley

From: Anil Thomas <anil.thomas1060@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, October 12, 2016 10:05 PM

To: Rick L. Lucas

Cc:bbram.org@gmail.comSubject:Regarding Trenton Airport

Hello - I am very concerned about the increase in flights from Trenton. This will increase noise and environmental pollution in nearby PA neighborhoods to say the least! We need you to consider to PA voices before making such a drastic decision!

Thank you, Anil Thomas. Lower Makefield Resident